





according to their degree of consistency with the policies in the NPPF. Paragraph 48 of the NPPF also allows weight to be given to policies in emerging plans according to their stage of preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies and the degree of consistency with national policy. As of 16th June 2017, the emerging Local Plan for Tendring is the Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft.

Section 1 of the Local Plan (which sets out the strategy for growth across North Essex including Tendring, Colchester and Braintree) was examined in January and May 2018 and the Inspector's initial findings were published in June 2018. They raise concerns, very specifically, about the three 'Garden Communities' proposed in north Essex along the A120 designed to deliver longer-term sustainable growth in the latter half of the plan period and beyond 2033. Further work is required to address the Inspector's concerns and the North Essex Authorities are considering how best to proceed.

With more work required to demonstrate the soundness of the Local Plan, its policies cannot yet carry the full weight of adopted policy, however they can carry some weight in the determination of planning applications. The examination of Section 2 of the Local Plan will progress once matters in relation to Section 1 have been resolved. Where emerging policies are particularly relevant to a planning application and can be given some weight in line with the principles set out in paragraph 48 of the NPPF, they will be considered and, where appropriate, referred to in decision notices. In general terms however, more weight will be given to policies in the NPPF and the adopted Local Plan.

## **5. Officer Appraisal**

### Proposal

This application seeks planning permission for a single storey rear extension (following the demolition of the existing lean-to). The application site is within the development boundary of Clacton on Sea.

### Visual Impact

The proposed extension is sited to the rear of the property and will be largely screened from the highway. The single storey nature of the proposal means it will not be prominent, and the overall scale is appropriate to the host dwelling and the site. Adequate private amenity space will be retained to the rear of the property.

### Impact on Neighbours

The proposal is separated from neighbouring properties by 2.5m to the north and 3m to the south. Due to the single storey nature of the proposal, there will be no significant impact on either neighbours daylight or outlook. There are no side facing windows proposed that will impact neighbouring privacy.

### Other Considerations

No letters of representation have been received.

### Conclusion

In the absence of material harm as a result of the proposed development, this application is recommended for approval.

## **6. Recommendation**

Approval - Full



**7. Conditions**

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason - To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans: Drawing no. 01 Revision B.

Reason - For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

**8. Informatives**

Positive and Proactive Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.

<b>Are there any letters to be sent to applicant / agent with the decision? If so please specify:</b>	NO
<b>Are there any third parties to be informed of the decision? If so, please specify:</b>	NO